1. All submitted materials are carefully selected and reviewed. An editorial board reserves the right to reject an article or return it as requiring improvement. The author is obliged to improve the article according to the remarks of the reviewers and the editorial board.
2. An editor should considerate all manuscripts offered for publication without prejudice, evaluating each on its merits without regard to race, religion, nationality, status, or institutional affiliation of the author(s). An editor may take into account relationships of a manuscript under consideration to others previously offered by the same author(s).
3. An editor should consider manuscript submitted for publication without delays.
4. The whole responsibility for acceptance or rejection of an article rests with the editor. Responsible and reasonable approach to the duty requires that the editor seek advice from reviewers, Doctor of Science of required specialty, as to the quality and reliability of manuscripts submitted for publication. However, manuscripts may be rejected without external review if considered by the Editors to be inappropriate for the journal.
5. The editor and members of the editor's staff should not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone other than those from whom professional advice is sought. After a positive decision has been made about a manuscript, it should be published in the journal and in the website of the journal.
6. It is acceptable to spread articles published in the journal or quotations over the Internet with precondition of giving references and links to the primary source. Publication and/or distribution of materials from the journal by third parties or organizations in print and electronic media are prohibited.

the editor could ethically discontinue the work. When a manuscript is so closely related to the current or past research of an editor as to create a conflict of interest, the editor should arrange

for some other qualified person to take editorial responsibility for that manuscript.

11. If an editor is presented with convincing evidence that the main substance or conclusions of
a report published in an editor's journal are erroneous, the editor should facilitate publication of
an appropriate report pointing out the error and, if possible, correcting it. The report may be
written by the person who discovered the error or by an original author.

12. An author may request that the editor not use certain reviewers in consideration of a manuscript. However, the editor may decide to use one or more of these reviewers, if the editor feels their opinions are important in the fair consideration of a manuscript. This might be the case, for example, when a manuscript seriously disagrees with the previous work of a potential reviewer.

## Editorial Board is guided by the following documents:

- Code of conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors - Committee on Publication Ethics

COPE CODE OF CONDUCT

,[

- Publishing Ethics, Publishing Ethics Resource Kit Elsevier.
- Budapest Open Access Initiative (BOAI)
- Berlin Declaration on Open Access to Knowledge in the Sciences and Humanities .
- Recommendations from the **Project on Promoting Academic Integrity in Ukraine**