[Download](#)

UDC 911.3:30/33(477.8)
[17721/2308-135X.2020.57.26-34](#)

<https://doi.org/10.17721/2308-135X.2020.57.26-34>

Smochko Nataliia Mykhailivna,

Candidate of Geographical Sciences, Associate Professor,
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2440-5737> Mukachevo State University, Mukachevo, Ukraine,

e-mail: natazak@ukr.net

THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO THE STUDY OF THE TERRITORIAL SYSTEM OF MONODEVELOPMENT IN GEOGRAPHICAL SPACE

The purpose of this research work is to analyze modern theoretical and methodological approaches to the study of territorial systems of monodevelopment in the theory of social geography.

Method. General scientific methods, including analysis, scientific synthesis, analytical method, methods of comparison and generalization were used in the study.

Scientific novelty. The article reveals traditional and innovative approaches to identifying and defining the territorial system of mono-development in the geographical space. In substantiating the application of approaches, we used the work of scientists and geographers, who took them into account in the study of complex systems. It has been determined that the leading and traditional approaches in socio-geographical research are historical-geographical (retrospective)

and territorial (geospatial), which should be combined with genetic. According to which all geographical phenomena have been considered as processes that have their genesis, dynamics, differences, patterns spatial distribution. To study the processes of system formation, a comprehensive approach is important. It provides a comprehensive analysis of the development of the main factors in the formation of modern socio-economic processes in the regions. The system approach allows to consider functioning and development of the territorial monosystem and its basic types as systems of the territorial organization of a society at various hierarchical levels, to open their integrity and the mechanisms providing effective management of such monodevelopment. At the same time, it has been found that not all the outlined approaches of complex systems can be used to study monosystems in the form in which they were used previously. This is because in the study of systems in retrospect, the application of approaches was focused on the analysis of the structure of the studied objects and the variety of processes that took place between the elements of the studied systems. In the study of monosystems, the researcher should be interested in their development to bifurcation moments and the conditions for further preservation of monosystems. This means that traditional approaches, such as historical-geographical (retrospective) and territorial (geospatial) should be modified to adapt to these tasks. It is important to use innovative approaches: cluster (formation of so-called network structures), behaviorist (explanation of the territorial identity of the monosystem), participatory (strategic planning of the territorial development of the monosystem). Only by combining a variety of approaches will it be possible to obtain a synergistic effect and form a synergistic approach that will provide additional benefits in the study of monosystems and the processes of their functioning.

Practical meaning. The results of this study contribute to a deeper socio-geographical understanding of the processes of monodevelopment, their genesis, features of their course and provide an opportunity to model the long-term development of territorial social systems, to achieve expected results due to long-term transformation. They can be used for further study of monosystems of different hierarchical levels, as well as for the development of practical recommendations and programs for the development of individual monoterritories.

Key words: methodological approaches, territorial system of monodevelopment, monoterritory.

References:

1. Baranovs'kyi, M. O. Bikheviorystychnyy pidkhid u doslidzhenni rehional'noyi depresyvnosti / M. O. Baranovs'kyi, O. V. Baranovs'ka // Rehional'ni problemy Ukrainy: Heohrafichnyy analiz ta poshuk shlyakhiv vyrishennya: zb. nauk. prats'. – Kherson: PP Vyshemyrskyi, 2009. – 488 s.

2. Baranskyy N. N. Yzbrannyye trudy. Stanovlenye sovet'skoy ekonomicheskoy geohrafiy / N. N. Baranskyy. – M.: Mysl', 1980. – 287 s.

3. Holykov A. P. Vvedeniye v ekonomicheskuyu y sotsyal'nuyu geohrafiyu: uchebnoye posobyie / A. P. Holykov. – Khar'kov: KhHU, 1992. – 81 s.

4. Zastavets'ka L. B. Systemy rozselennya i heoprstorovi problemy vdoskonalennya administratyvno-terytorial'noho ustroyu Ukrayiny: monohrafiya / L. B. Zastavets'ka. – Ternopil': TNPU im. V. Hnatyuka, 2013. – 332 s.

5. Mala hirnycha entsyklopediya. T.1. – Donets'k: Donbas, 2004. – 640 s.

6. Mezentsev K. V. Metodyka bikheviorystychnoho prohnozuvannya rehional'noho rozvytku / K. V. Mezentsev // Ekonomichna ta sotsial'na geohrafiya. – 2004. – Vyp. 54. – S. 17-24.

7. Mezentsev K. V. Suspil'no-heohrafichne prohnozuvannya rehional'noho rozvytku: monohrafiya / K. V. Mezentsev. – K.: Vydavnycho-polihrafichnyy tsentr – Kyyivs'kyy universytet, 2005. – 253 s

8. Nemets K. A. Ynformatsyonnoe vzaymodeystviye pryrodnykh y sotsyal'nykh system: Monohrafiya / K. A. Nemets. – Kh.: Vostochno-rehyonal'nyy tsentr humanytarno-obrazovatel'nykh ynytsyatyv, 2005. – 428 s.

9. Nyemets' K. Kontsepsiya sotsial'no-heohrafichnoyi systemy yak metodolohichnyy konstrukt suspil'noyi heohrafiyi / K. Nyemets', L. Nyemets' // Chasopys sotsial'no-ekonomichnoyi heohrafiyi. – 2015. – Vypusk 18 (1). – S. 24-32.

10. Palamarchuk O. M. Obshchestvenno-terrytorial'nyye systemy (lohyko-matematycheskoe modelyrovante) / O.M. Palamarchuk. – K.: Znannya, 1992. – 272 s.

11. Partysypatyvne stratehichne planuvannya v orhanakh mistsevoho samovryaduvannya. Posibnyk dlya ob"yednanykh terytorial'nykh hromad – [Elektronnyy resurs] – Rezhym dostupu: https://storage.decentralization.gov.ua/uploads/library/file/23/STRATEGIC_PLANNING_MANUAL_standard_version_9MB.pdf

12. Pistun M. D. Osnovy teoriiy suspil'noyi heohrafiyi / M. D. Pistun – K.: Vyscha shk., 1996. – S.168.

13. Postanova KМУ vid 19.06.2019 r. # 532 «Pro zatverdzhennya Polozhennya pro osvitniy okruh i opornyy zaklad osvity». – [Elektronnyy resurs] – Rezhym dostupu:
<https://mon.gov.ua/storage/app/media/zagalna%20serednya/oporni%20shkoli/2020/08/18/osh.docx>

14. Topchiyev O. H. Osnovy suspil'noyi heohrafiyi: navchal'nyy posibnyk / O. H. Topchiyev. – Odesa: Astroprynt, 2001. – 560 s.

15. Topchiyev O.H. Suspil'no-heohrafichni doslidzhennya: metodolohiya, metody, metodyky / O. H. Topchiyev – Odesa: Astroprynt, 2005. – S. 166.

16. Shabliy O. I. Osnovy zahal'noyi suspil'noyi heohrafiyi : pidruchnyk / O. I. Shabliy – L'viv: Vydavnychyy tsentr LNU im. Ivana Franka, 2003. – 444 s.

17. Shevchuk L. T. Medyko-sotsial'ni aspekty vykorystannya trudovoho potentsialu: rehional'nyy analiz i prohnoz: monohrafiya / L. T. Shevchuk; NAN Ukrayiny. In-t rehion. doslidzh. – L., 2003. – 486 c.

18. Shevchuk L.T. Sotsial'na heohrafiya: navchal'nyy posibnyk. / L.T. Shevchuk – K.: Znannya, 2007. – 350 s.

19. Yavors'ka V.V. Do pytannya pro istoryko-heohrafichnyy (henetychnyy) pidkhid v sotsial'no-heohrafichnykh doslidzhennyakh (korotkyy ohlyad literatury) / V.V. Yavors'ka. [Elektronnyy resurs] – Rezhym dostupu: https://collectedpapers.com.ua/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/037_195_202_Yavorska.pdf

20. Hirshman A. O. Vers une économie politique élargie / A.O. Hirshman. – Paris: Editions de minuit, 1986.

Надійшла до редколегії 15.09.2020